



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,742	11/30/2001	Davin C. Dillon	210121.491C7	3670

500 7590 05/26/2004

SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC  
701 FIFTH AVE  
SUITE 6300  
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092

EXAMINER

STRZELECKA, TERESA E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1637

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/010,742	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DILLON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Teresa E Strzelecka	<b>Art Unit</b> 1637	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to an amendment filed March 10, 2004. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8 and 15 were previously pending. Applicants cancelled claims 8 and 15. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are pending and will be examined.
2. Applicants amendments and claim cancellations overcame the following rejections: rejection of claims 8 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 101, utility; rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 8 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description; rejection of claim 1 (b) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph; rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 8 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) over Glucksmann.
3. Rejection of claims 1, 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 101, utility, is maintained for reasons given in the "Response to Arguments" section below.

### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the rejection of claims 1, 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 101, utility, Applicants argue that nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 52 was found to be overexpressed in breast tumor tissue, and that SEQ ID NO: 52 is 100% identical to claimed SEQ ID NO: 305, therefore SEQ ID NO: 52 and SEQ ID NO: 305 would be expected to have the same expression profile. Applicants further argue that the utility for the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 305 would be diagnosis of breast cancer.

However, Applicants did not show that the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 305, which is 1518 bp long, does indeed have the same expression pattern as the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 52, which is 379 bp long. Even assuming that both nucleic acids are fragments of the same nucleic acid molecule, Applicants did not provide any evidence of what is the level of expression of the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 52 in the breast tumor tissue, or any evidence that the level of

Art Unit: 1637

expression of the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 52 is significantly different in breast cancer tissue as compared to any other tissue. Without this evidence it cannot be concluded that the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 52 has any diagnostic value in detecting breast cancer.

Further, the reference cited in the previous office action, Glucksmann, provides a nucleic acid sequence which is 99.9% identical to SEQ ID NO: 305, and was found to be overexpressed in colon cancer cells. Therefore, it is not clear that overexpression of the nucleic acid with SEQ ID NO: 305 is unique to breast cancer cells.

The rejection is maintained.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101, utility***

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The pending claims have been reviewed in light of the Utility Examination Guidelines and Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1092-1111, Friday, January 5, 2001.

The examiner is using the following definitions in evaluating the claims for utility.

"Specific" - A utility that is *specific* to the subject matter claimed. This contrasts with a *general* utility that would be applicable to the broad class of the invention.

"Substantial" - A utility that defines a "real world" use. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utilities.

"Credible" - Credibility is assessed from the perspective of one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the disclosure and any other evidence of record that is probative of the applicant's assertions. That is, the assertion is an inherently unbelievable undertaking or involves implausible scientific principles.

"Well-established" - a specific, substantial, and credible utility which is well known, immediately apparent, or implied by the specification's disclosure of the properties of a material, alone or taken with the knowledge of one skilled in the art.

Art Unit: 1637

6. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility due to its not being supported by a specific, substantial, and credible utility or, in the alternative, a well-established utility.

The claimed subject matter is not supported by a specific, substantial, and credible utility because the disclosed uses are generally applicable to broad classes of this subject matter. In addition, further characterization of the claimed subject matter would be required to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" use. The examiner does not find an adequate nexus between the evidence of record and the asserted properties of the claimed subject matter.

Polynucleotide with SEQ ID NO: 305 is the cDNA sequence of the open reading frame of a splice variant of B854P referred to as 228686\_8 (page 16, lines 3,4). On page 104, Applicants explain that the 228686\_8 sequence was recovered from LifeSeq Gold™ database by comparing the database with a polynucleotide with SEQ ID NO: 52 (B854P), which may represent a potential splice form of the B854P gene. The 228686\_8 sequence encodes a putative protein with SEQ ID NO: 307, the cDNA of which has SEQ ID NO: 305. Applicants assert that the nucleic acid sequence denoted as 228686\_8 is full-length and is 51% identical to rabbit P450 cytochrome sequences (page 104, lines 8-22). Applicants did not provide the sequence alignment or an indication to which cytochrome P450 the sequence was compared.

Sequence search performed at USPTO did not reveal any significant homology to P450 proteins of any origin. The following homologies were found (see copies of sequence alignments):

1) 99.9% identity to a polynucleotide with SEQ ID NO: 29 from a patent publication No. US 2003/0027988 A1. This polynucleotide is overexpressed in colon cancer cells, but no structural or functional information for the protein encoded by it was provided, and no specific or substantial utility was described for either the polynucleotide or the protein encoded by it.

Art Unit: 1637

2) 99.9% identity to SEQ ID NO: 55 of the patent publication No. US 2003/0022334 A1.

Again, this publication does not contain any information about the function of a protein encoded by SEQ ID NO: 55, and does not provide any specific or substantial utility was described for either the polynucleotide or the protein encoded by it.

3) 21.2% identity to a nucleic acid sequence with an accession number AI820775, which is human EST fragment, similar to rabbit cytochrome P450 4B1 (according to clone definition); no functional information provided.

4) 20.4% identity to a nucleic acid sequence with an accession number BI772715, which is human EST fragment; no function information provided.

Absent factual evidence, a percentage sequence similarity of less than 100 % is not deemed to reasonably support to one skilled in the art whether the biochemical activity of the claimed subject matter would be the same as that of such a similar known biomolecule. However, in all of the four cases above none of the similar polynucleotides has utility in view of the fact that the first two encode proteins with unknown function and undetermined utility, and the third and fourth ones are short polynucleotide fragments with unknown function.

The claimed polynucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 305) is not supported by a specific asserted utility because the disclosed uses of the polynucleotide are not specific and are generally applicable to a wide variety of polynucleotides. The specification states that the polynucleotides may be useful as hybridization probes, PCR primers (page 33, lines 9-22; page 39, lines 8-29; page 40; page 41, lines 1-18), for encoding of polypeptides cross-reactive with other polypeptides (page 33, lines 23-29), for sequence comparisons with other polynucleotides (page 34, lines 12-29; page 35), for mutagenesis to provide derivative polypeptides (page 36, lines 23-29; page 37, 38), for therapeutic purposes as antisense oligonucleotides (page 41, lines 19-29; page 42; page 43, lines 1-7), for

Art Unit: 1637

design of ribozymes (page 43, lines 8-29; page 44, 45; page 46, lines 1-20), parts of expression vectors and for gene therapy and vaccines (page 72 lines 3-29; page 73). These are non-specific uses that are applicable to nucleic acids in general and not particular or specific to the nucleic acid being claimed.

Further, the claimed polynucleotide compound is not supported by a substantial utility because no substantial utility has been established for the claimed subject matter. Similarly, the other listed and asserted utilities as summarized above or in the instant specification are neither substantial nor specific due to being generic in nature and applicable to a myriad of such compounds. Note, because the claimed invention is not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility for the reasons set forth above, credibility has not been assessed. Neither the specification as filed nor any art of record discloses or suggests any property or activity for the protein compound such that another non-asserted utility would be well established for the compounds.

Applicants state in lines 9 and 10 of page 16 that the compositions described in the specification could be used for the therapy and diagnosis of cancer, particularly breast cancer. However, in order for a polynucleotide (or a polypeptide) to be useful for diagnosis of a disease, there must be a well-established or disclosed correlation or relationship between the claimed polynucleotide (or a polypeptide) and a disease or disorder. The presence of a polynucleotide (or a polypeptide) in tissue that is derived from cancer cells (in this case from breast cancer cells) is not sufficient for establishing a utility in diagnosis of disease in the absence of some information regarding a correlative or causal relationship between the expression of the claimed cDNA and the disease. If a molecule is to be used as a surrogate for a disease state, some disease state must be identified in some way with the molecule. There must be some expression pattern that would allow

Art Unit: 1637

the claimed polynucleotide (or a polypeptide) to be used in a diagnostic manner. Many proteins are expressed in normal tissues and diseased tissues. Therefore, one needs to know, e.g., that the claimed polynucleotide (or a polypeptide) is either present only in cancer tissue to the exclusion of normal tissue or is expressed in higher levels in diseased tissue compared to normal tissue (i.e. overexpression). Evidence of a differential expression might serve as a basis for use of the claimed polynucleotide (or a polypeptide) as a diagnostic for a disease. However, in the absence of any disclosed relationship between the claimed polynucleotide or the protein that is encoded thereby and any disease or disorder and the lack of any correlation between the claimed polynucleotide or the encoded protein with any known disease or disorder, any information obtained from an expression profile would only serve as the basis for further research on the observation itself. "Congress intended that no patent be granted on a chemical compound whose sole 'utility' consists of its potential role as an object of use-testing." *Brenner*, 148 USPQ at 696. The disclosure does not present a substantial utility that would support the requirement of 35 U.S.C. §101.

Applicant should explicitly identify a specific, substantial, and credible utility for the claimed invention and establish a probative relation between any evidence of record and the originally disclosed properties of the claimed invention.

7. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific or substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

8. No claims are allowed.



Art Unit: 1637

***Conclusion***


9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (571) 272-0789. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
JEFFREY FREDMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
5/25/10